

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/617,254	07/11/2003	Myrtle Thierry-Palmer	2427	
75	90 12/15/2005		EXAMINER	
Glenna Hendri	icks, Esq.	LANKFORD JR, LEON B		
P.O. Box 2509 Fairfax, VA 22031-2509			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Tuniux, VII 2	2031 2307		1651	
			D. TE MAN ED 12/16/20	_

**DATE MAILED: 12/15/2005** 

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/617	<b>'</b> ,254	THIERRY-PALME	ER ET AL.				
		Exami	ner	Art Unit					
		Leon L	ankford	1651	:				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appears on	the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	idress				
WHI( - Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com- period for reply is specified above, the maximum source to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no munication. tatutory period will apply and y will, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUI b event, however, may d will expire SIX (6) M application to become	NICATION.  y a reply be timely filed  NONTHS from the mailing date of this companies to the part of th					
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on							
2a)⊠	•	2b) ☐ This action is	s non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the pract	ice under <i>Ex parte</i>	Quayle, 1935 C	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims								
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>5-11</u> is/are pending in the application.								
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>5-11</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)□	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicat	ion Papers								
9)□	The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a)□ accepted or	b) objected	to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	g the correction is req	uired if the drawi	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).				
11)□	The oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Examiner.	Note the attach	ned Office Action or form P1	ΓΟ-152.				
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C	c. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
-	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
ŕ	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
	application from the Internation				•				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action	on for a list of the ce	ertified copies n	ot received.					
A40-b	*/~\								
Attachmen  1) Notice	τ(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) 🗍 Intensie	w Summary (PTO-413)					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I	PTO-948)	Paper N	lo(s)/Mail Date					
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date	PTO/SB/08)	5)  Notice of Other: _	of Informal Patent Application (PT0 	O-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/617,254

Art Unit: 1651

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 6-8 & 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Applicant's claims do not seem to define an invention which results in an evaluation of salt sensitivity of a patient. Applicant needs to make it clear what is being measured from the sample and exactly how it's being measured and then how that correlates to salt sensitivity in order to distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

This was discussed in the interview of 10/17/2005 and a supplemental amendment is expected.

Please note that the language of a claim must make it clear what subject matter the claim encompasses to adequately delineate its "metes and bounds". See, e.g., the following decisions: In re Hammack, 427 F 2d. 1378, 1382, 166 USPQ 204, 208 (CCPA 1970); In re Venezia 530 F 2d. 956, 958, 189 USPQ 149, 151 (CCPA 1976); In re Goffe, 526 F 2d. 1393, 1397, 188 USPQ 131, 135 (CCPA 1975); In re Watson, 517 F 2d. 465, 477, 186 USPQ 11, 20 (CCPA 1975); In re Knowlton 481 F 2d. 1357, 1366, 178 USPQ 486, 492 (CCPA 1973). The courts have also indicated that before claimed subject matter can

Art Unit: 1651

properly be compared to the prior art, it is essential to know what the claims do in fact cover. See, e.g., the following decisions: In re Steele, 305 F 2d. 859, 134 USPQ 292 (CCPA 1962); In re Moore 439 F 2d. 1232, 169 USPQ 236 (CCPA 1969); In re Merat, 519 F 2d. 1390, 186 USPQ 471 (CCPA 1975).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 5, 9 & 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DeLuca et al(4269777) and Norman et al(3772150).

**Art Unit: 1651** 

DeLuca and Norman both teach radiolabeled 25-OHD to be used in assays. The label is made from non-labeled 25-OHD therefore it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to make a kit comprising the radiolabeled compound and unlabeled compound for use in the methods of DeLuca and Norman as a reagent and control.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to include instructions with a kit comprising the radiolabeled compound because at the time the invention was made it would have been notoriously old and well known to include instructions for use in any kit intended to have a practical use. The subject matter of those instructions does not serve to distinguish the invention from the prior art because the printed matter in no way depends on the kit, and the kit does not depend on the printed matter. All that the printed matter does is teach a new use for an existing product. see In re Gulack, 703 F.2d 1381 (Fed. Cir. 1983) & In re Ngai, 70 USPQ2d 1862 (CA FC 2004).

Accordingly, the claimed invention was prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made especially in the absence of evidence to the contrary.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 1651

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leon Lankford whose telephone number is 571-272-0917. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu 7:30-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Wityshyn can be reached on 571-272-0926. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Leon/B Lankford Jr Primary Examiner